

# The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108

MassHealth

TIMOTHY MURPHY Secretary BETH WALDMAN Medicaid Director

December 29, 2006

Mr. Maurice Gagnon SCHIP Project Officer Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Center for Medicaid and State Operations Division of State Children's Health Insurance Mail Stop S2-01-16 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Dear Mr. Gagnon:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 2108(a) of the Social Security Act, the Massachusetts Office of Medicaid hereby submits its Title XXI State Children's Health Insurance Program annual report for Federal Fiscal Year 2006.

The report assesses the operation of the state plan under this Title including the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered low-income children during the reporting period from October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006.

Please call me directly at (617) 573-1745, if you have any questions about this submission.

Sincerely

Robin Callahan

Director, Waiver and SCHIP Administration

cc: Rich

Rich Pecorella – CMS Regional Office Chong Tieng – CMS Regional Office

Cynthia Pernice -- NASHP

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) of the Act provides that the State must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to SCHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their SCHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE STATE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

State/Territ	ory:		Mass	achusetts	
			(Name of	State/Territory)	
The followir 2108(a)). Signature:	ng Annual Report is submitted	in compl	iance with	Title XXI of the Social S	Security Act (Section
SCHIP Pro	gram Name(s): MassHea	alth			
SCHIP Pro	gram Type:  SCHIP Med Separate C Combinatio	hild Healt	th Progran		
Reporting F	Period: <u>Federal Fiscal Yea</u>	r 2006	Note: Fede	eral Fiscal Year 2006 starts 10	)/1/05 and ends 9/30/06.
Contact Pe	rson/Title: Robin Callah	an, Dire	ctor of Wa	aiver and SCHIP Admir	nistration
Address:	One Ashburton Place				
City:	Boston	State:	MA	Zip:	02108
Phone:	( 617) 573-1745		_ Fax:	(617) 573-1894	
Email:	Robin.Callahan@state.ma.	us			
Submission	Date:				

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1st of each year)

# SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF SCHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your SCHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different SCHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table. Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [500] are character limits in the State Annual Report Template System (SARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	SC	CHIP Me	dicaid Expans	ion Progr	am	S	Separat	e Child Health I	Program	า
						From	0	% of FPL conception to birth	200	% of FPL
	From	185	% of FPL for infants	200	% of FPL	From	200	% of FPL for infants	300	% of FPL
Eligibility	From	133	% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5	150	% of FPL	From	150	% of FPL for 1 through 5	300	% of FPL
	From	114	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	150	% of FPL	From	150	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	300	% of FPL
	From	0	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	150	% of FPL	From	150	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	300	% of FPL
		No					No			
Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?	$\boxtimes$	For ch	or whom and how ildren with self e ≤ 150% FPL f	f-declared			For cl	or whom and how hildren with self or income >150% or 60 days.	f-declar	
		N/A					N/A	<b></b>		
		1					F			
		No					No			
Is retroactive eligibility available?		All chi	or whom and how Idren, coverage prior to applicate	e begins	10	$\boxtimes$	All ch	or whom and ho ildren, coverag prior to applica	e begin	s 10
		N/A					N/A			
Does your State Plan contain authority to							No			
implement a waiting list?			Not applicabl	е			Yes			
							N/A			
		No					No			
Does your program have a mail-in application?	$\boxtimes$	Yes				$\boxtimes$	Yes			
		N/A					N/A			

Can an applicant apply		No			No	
for your program over the phone?		Yes		$\boxtimes$	Yes	
priorie:		N/A			N/A	
Does your program have an application on your		No			No	
website that can be printed, completed and		Yes		$\boxtimes$	Yes	
mailed in?		N/A			N/A	
		No			No	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes –	please check all that apply	$\boxtimes$	Yes –	please check all that apply
			Signature page must be printed and mailed in			Signature page must be printed and mailed in
Can an applicant apply		F	Family documentation must be mailed (i.e., income		F	Family documentation must be nailed (i.e., income
for your program on-line?			documentation)			locumentation)
			Electronic signature is required			Electronic signature is required
					<u> </u>	No Signature is required
		T.,,		Г	[ <u>,</u>	
		N/A			N/A	
_		No			1	No
Does your program require a face-to-face						
interview during initial application	Ш	Yes			]	Yes
арриоспол		N/A			]	N/A
		No	0		]	No
Does your program						Yes – children between
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting		Ye	es	×	1	200% and 300% FPL must be uninsured for a minimum of six months prior to application (unless approved exceptions apply).
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to			es ber of months			200% and 300% FPL must be uninsured for a minimum of six months prior to application (unless approved exceptions
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting		fy numb			/ numbe	200% and 300% FPL must be uninsured for a minimum of six months prior to application (unless approved exceptions apply).
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting period)?	Speci	fy numb	ber of months	Specify	/ numbe	200% and 300% FPL must be uninsured for a minimum of six months prior to application (unless approved exceptions apply).
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting	Speci	fy numb	ber of months	Specify	/ numbe	200% and 300% FPL must be uninsured for a minimum of six months prior to application (unless approved exceptions apply).

regardless of income		(	Specify numl	ber of months			Spec	ify numbe	er of mon	ths
changes?				when a child woul period in the box			Explain circumstances when a child would lose eligibility during the time period in the box below			
	* How	vever	, certain chi	ildren may rece	ive an	Cligibii	iity ddiii	ig the time	period iii	ITIC DOX DCIOW
				for coverage, a from earnings						
	unde				•					
		N	I/A				]	N/A		
						· · · · · ·				
			No				No			
			Yes nent fee			⊠ En	Ye			
			ount			L''	amou			
	Pre		amount			-		mount		
Does your program		Yearl	у сар				early			una in Alan Ianu
require premiums or an enrollment fee?	If ye	s, brie	efly explain f	ee structure in t	he box					
				low		amou		N/A  N/A  Solutified in the box below  Solutified in the box below  The explain fee structure in the box below		
						FPL Per child Family r				
	_		ild per mon	th with a \$15 fa	amily	150.1- 200.1-				\$36
	maxii	num				250.1- 250.1-				
			N/A				N/A	4		
	$\boxtimes$	No				$\boxtimes$	No			
Does your program impose copayments or		Yes					Yes			
coinsurance?	□ N/A				N/A					
		1071					1477			
						·				
Does your program		No					No			
impose deductibles?	H	Yes N/A					Yes N/A			
		IV/A					IN/A			
										1
		No					No			
Does your program	<u> </u>	Yes				1634	Yes			
require an assets test?	If Yes	, plea	se describe	below		If Yes,	please	e describe	below	
		N1/A					T N 1 / A			
		N/A					N/A			
Does your program require income		No					No			
require income		Yes					Yes			

disregards?	If Ye	s, please describe below	If Yes	s, please des	cribe belo	W		
				children abov 00% FPL is d				
		N/A		N/A				
	$\boxtimes$	No	$\boxtimes$	No				
		Yes, we send out form to family with their information pre-completed and		Yes, we sen their informa				
Is a preprinted renewal form sent prior to eligibility expiring?		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation		wit cor	send out n their infi npleted a nfirmation	ormat	tion pre	
CXPIIIII 9:		We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed		req inc	send ou uire a resome or of ve change	pons her c	e unles	ss
		N/A		N/A				
Comments on Responses	s in T	able:						
2. Is there an assets	test fo	or children in your Medicaid program?		Yes	s 🛛	No		N/A
3. Is it different from	the as	ssets test in your separate child health prog	ıram?	Yes	; <u> </u>	No		N/A
4. Are there income	disreg	ards for your Medicaid program?		Yes	is 🛛	No		N/A
5. Are they different health program?	from t	he income disregards in your separate child	d	Yes		No		N/A
6. Is a joint application program?	on use	ed for your Medicaid and separate child hea	alth	⊠ Yes	, 🗆	No		N/A
Enter any Narrativ	e text	below.						

7. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

		Medicaid Separate Expansion SCHIP Child Health Program Program						
		Yes	No Change	N/A	_	Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
b)	Application	$\boxtimes$						
c)	Application documentation requirements							
d)	Benefit structure							
e)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)							
f)	Crowd out policies							
g)	Delivery system							
h)	Eligibility determination process (including implementing a waiting lists or open enrollment periods)							
i)	Eligibility levels / target population					$\boxtimes$		
j)	Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP							
k)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP					$\boxtimes$		
l)	Eligibility redetermination process							
m)	Enrollment process for health plan selection							
n)	Family coverage							
o)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)							
p)	Premium assistance							
q)	Prenatal Eligibility expansion						$\boxtimes$	
r)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)				_			
	Parents							
	Pregnant women							
	Childless adults							

')	of fraud and abuse	edutes for prevention, investigation	i, and referral of cases						
)	Other – please spe	ecify		'					•
	a.	[50]							
	b.	[50]							
	C.	[50]							
		responded yes to above, please e	xplain the change and	why the	change w	as made	, below:		
	) Applicant and er	•							
	e.g., changed from rocess to State Lav	the Medicaid Fair Hearing v)							
b)	) Application		Changes were made applications and incr	eased th	e amoun				
c)	) Application docu	imentation requirements	Implemented docum Deficit Reduction Ac		requirem	ents to co	omply wit	th the	
d)	) Benefit structure	·							
e)	) Cost sharing (inc collection proce	cluding amounts, populations, & ss)	Maintained existing income expansion.	cost shar	ing, but i	ncluded p	premiums	for new	/
f)	Crowd out policie	es	Implemented six-mo (200-300% FPL).	nth waitii	ng period	for incor	ne expan	sion gro	oup
g)	) Delivery system								
h)		nination process (including waiting lists or open enrollment							
	. ,								
i)	Eligibility levels / t	arget population	Effective July 1, 200 cover children under				d Health	eligibilit	y to

j) Assets test in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	
k) Income disregards in Medicaid and/or SCHIP	For the separate child health program, a maximum of 100% FPL is disregarded, down to 200% FPL.
I) Eligibility redetermination process	
m) Enrollment process for health plan selection	
n) Family coverage	
o) Outreach	
p) Premium assistance	Updated data used for determining cost-effectiveness of premium assistance, which makes premium assistance an option for many children who would previously have been enrolled in direct coverage.
q) Prenatal Eligibility Expansion	
r) Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
Parents	
Pregnant women	
Childless adults	
s) Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse	
t) Other – please specify	
a. <b>[50]</b>	
b. <b>[50]</b>	
c. <b>[50]</b>	

Enter any Narrative text below.

# SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the core performance measures for the SCHIP program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the core performance measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

#### SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF CORE PERFORMANCE MEASURES

CMS is directed to examine national performance measures by the SCHIP Final Rules of January 11, 2001. To address this SCHIP directive, and to address the need for performance measurement in Medicaid, CMS, along with other Federal and State officials, developed a core set of performance measures for Medicaid and SCHIP. The group focused on well-established measures whose results could motivate agencies, providers, and health plans to improve the quality of care delivered to enrollees. After receiving comments from Medicaid and SCHIP officials on an initial list of 19 measures, the group recommended seven core measures, including four core child health measures:

- Well child visits in the first 15 months of life
- Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th years of life
- · Use of appropriate medications for children with asthma
- Children's access to primary care practitioners

These measures are based on specifications provided by the Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set (HEDIS®). HEDIS® provides a useful framework for defining and measuring performance. However, use of HEDIS® methodology is <u>not</u> required for reporting on your measures. The HEDIS® methodology can also be modified based on the availability of data in your State.

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second column, report data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2006). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each performance measure as follows:

- <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.
- <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the sample size (i.e., denominator) for a particular measure is less than 30. If the sample size is less than 30, your State is not required to report data on the measure. However, please indicate the exact sample size in the space provided.
- Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

## **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- <u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2006.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.

• Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

## **Measurement Specification:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the measurement specification (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® technical specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other source with measurement specifications unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data – administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data, or other source. If another data source was used, please explain the source.

## **Definition of Population included in the Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined. Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion).

Note: You do not need to report data for all delivery system types. You may choose to report data for only the delivery system with the most enrollees in your program.

#### Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

## Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: SARTS will calculate the rate if you enter the numerator and denominator. Otherwise, if you only have the rate, enter it in the rate box.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

## **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On

the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

## Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

## MEASURE: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2004	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2004	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2006
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Members who turned 15 months old during 2003 and	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Members who turned 15 months old during 2005 and
who were continuously enrolled from 31 days to 15	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	who were continuously enrolled from 31 days to 15
months of age with no more than one gap in enrollment	Definition of numerator:	months of age with no more than one gap in enrollment
of up to 45 days.		of up to 45 days.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:		Definition of numerator:
Members who turned 15 months old during 2003 and		Members who turned 15 months old during 2005 and
who received six or more well-child visits with a primary		who had six or more well-child visits with a primary care
care practitioner during the first 15 months of life.		practitioner during the first 15 months of life.
Year of Data: 2003	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2005

# Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life (continued)

-	FFY 2004		FFY 2005		FFY 2006			
HEDIS Performance M	easurement Data:	HEDIS Performance	Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Me	easurement Data:			
(If reporting with HEDIS)	/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HED	IS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/	HEDIS-like methodology)			
Percent with specified nu		Percent with specified		Percent with specified nur				
0 visits	4 visits	0 visits	4 visits	0 visits	4 visits			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 1.5%	Rate: <b>4.0%</b>			
Rate.	Rate.	Rate.	Rate.	Kate. 1.570	Nate. 4.070			
1 visit	5 visits	1 visit	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visit	5 visits			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: <b>0.3%</b>	Rate: <b>10.0%</b>			
2 visits	<u>6+ visits</u>	2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	<u>6+ visits</u>			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate: <b>67.7%</b>	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: <b>0.6%</b>	Rate: <b>82.3%</b>			
3 visits		3 visits		3 visits				
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:				
Denominator:		Denominator:			Denominator:			
Rate:		Rate:		Rate: 1.4%				
Additional notes on meas	ure:	Additional notes on me	asure:	Additional notes on measu	ıre:			
Other Performance Mea	asurement Data:	Other Performance M	leasurement Data:	Other Performance Mea	surement Data:			
(If reporting with another	r methodology)	(If reporting with anoth	ner methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)				
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:				
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:				
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:				
Additional notes on meas	ure:	Additional notes on me	asure:	Additional notes on measu	ıre:			
Explanation of Progress		<u> </u>						
Annual Performan	nce Objective for FFY 2007:							
Annual Performan	nce Objective for FFY 2008:							
Annual Performan	nce Objective for FFY 2009:							
Explain how these of	objectives were set:							
Other Comments on Me	3							

# MEASURE: Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th Years of Life

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30)	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: <b>2004</b>	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2004	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2006
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. <i>Specify version of HEDIS used</i> :
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
☐ Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Members aged 3 to 6 years old as of December 31,	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Members aged 3 to 6 years old as of December 31,
2003 and who were enrolled as of December 31, 2003	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	2005 and who were enrolled as of December 31, 2005
with no more than one gap of enrollment of up to 45	Definition of numerator:	with no more than one gap of enrollment of up to 45
days.		days.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:		Definition of numerator:
Members who were 3, 4, 5 or 6 years old during 2003		Members who were 3, 4, 5 or 6 years old during 2005
and who received one or more well-child visits with a		and who received one or more well-child visits with a
primary care practitioner during 2003.		primary care practitioner during 2005.
Year of Data: 2003	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2005
<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits	Percent with 1+ visits
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 80.9%	Rate:	Rate: 83.6%

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Well-Child Visits in Children the 3rd, 4th, 5th, a	and 6th Years of Life (continued)	
FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
raditional notes on measure.		

SCHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2006

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE: Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2004	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☑HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2004	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☑HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: <b>2006</b>
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Members with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Members with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who
were aged 5 to 17 years old as of December 31, 2003	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	were aged 5 to 17 years old as of December 31, 2005
and who were enrolled as of December 31, 2003 with	Definition of numerator:	and who were enrolled as of December 31, 2005 with
no more than one gap of enrollment of up to 45 days.		no more than one gap of enrollment of up to 45 days.
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:		Definition of numerator:
Members ages 5-17 with persistent asthma who were		Members ages 5 to 17 with persistent asthma who were
appropriately prescribed control medication during		appropriately prescribed control medication during
2003.		2005.
Year of Data: 2003	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2005
I car or Data. 2005	I cui vi Dum.	I Cui Oi Dutu, 2005

## **Use of Appropriate Medications for Children with Asthma (continued)**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications	Percent receiving appropriate medications
5-9 years	5-9 years	5-9 years
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: <b>68.5%</b>	Rate:	Rate: 93.0%
10-17 years	10-17 years	10-17 years
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate: 65.8%	Rate:	Rate: <b>88.9</b> %
Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)	Combined rate (5-17 years)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		

#### Explanation of Progress:

NCQA made a significant change to the definition of the eligible population for this measure for HEDIS 2006. Due to the extent of this change, comparison of HEDIS 2006 results to HEDIS 2004 is not appropriate.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE: Children's Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported: 2004	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2004	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2006
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Members aged 12 to 24 months, 25 months to 6 years,	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Members aged 12 to 24 months, 25 months to 6 years,
7 to 11 years, and 12 to 19 years. Members aged 12	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	7 to 11 years, and 12 to 19 years. Members aged 12
months to 6 years must have been continuously enrolled	Definition of numerator:	months to 6 years must have been continuously enrolled
during the measurement year with no more than one		during the measurement year with no more than one
gap of enrollment of up to 45 days. Members aged 7 to		gap of enrollment of up to 45 days. Members aged 7 to
19 years must have been continuously enrolled during		19 years must have been continuously enrolled during
the measurement year and the year prior to the		the measurement year and the year prior to the
measurement year with no more than one gap of		measurement year with no more than one gap of
enrollment of up to 45 days each year.		enrollment of up to 45 days each year.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:		Definition of numerator:
Members aged 12-24 months or 25 months to 6 years,		Members aged 12-24 months or 25 months to 6 years
who had at least one ambulatory care or preventive care		who had at least one ambulatory care or preventive care
visit with a primary care practitioner in 2003. Members		visit with a primary care practitioner in 2005. Members
aged 7 to 11 years or 12 to 19 years who had at least		aged 7 to 11 years or 12 to 19 years who had at least
one ambulatory care or preventive care visit with a		one ambulatory care or preventive care visit with a
1 and annual of part of provontive date viole with a		and announcing date of protofilite date viole with a

FFY 2004			FFY 2005		FFY 2006	
primary care practitions	y care practitioner in 2002 or 2003.		primary care practitione	primary care practitioner in 2004 or 2005.		
Year of Data: 2003		Year of Data:		Year of Data: 2005		
<b>HEDIS Performance M</b>	easurement Data:	HEDIS Performance M	leasurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Me	easurement Data:	
(If reporting with HEDIS	/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS	S/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	
Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		Percent with a PCP visit		
<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	<u>12-24 months</u>	<u>7-11 years</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate: <b>95.1%</b>	Rate: <b>95.9%</b>	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: <b>96.2%</b>	Rate: <b>95.6%</b>	
25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	25 months-6 years	<u>12-19 years</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate: <b>91.8%</b>	Rate: <b>93.8%</b>	Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 93.3%	Rate: 93.7%	
Additional notes on meas		Additional notes on measure	~ <del></del>	Additional notes on meas		
Other Performance Mea	asurement Data:	Other Performance Me	easurement Data:	Other Performance Mea	surement Data:	
(If reporting with another	(If reporting with another methodology)		er methodology)	(If reporting with another	methodology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:	Numerator:		Numerator:	
Denominator:		Denominator:	Denominator:		Denominator:	
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure	Additional notes on measure:		ure:	
Evalenation of Progress	Evolunation of Progress:					

**Explanation of Progress:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

#### SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

1. The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in SCHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 in your State's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by SARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2005	FFY 2006	Percent change FFY 2005-2006
SCHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	119,268	126,120	5.75%
Separate Child Health Program	43,411	57,050	31.42%

A. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent.

Three major factors account for the increase in enrollment: eligibility expansion, increased outreach activities, and the increased public attention and activity resulting from the Health Care Reform discourse.

2. The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2003-2005. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. SARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2006 Annual Report Template.

				ildren Under Age 19
	Uninsured Children Under Age 19		Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a	
	Below 200 Per	cent of Poverty	Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
	(In Thousands)			
1996-1998	70	15.5	4.6	1.0
	70	13.3	4.0	1.0
1998-2000	68	15.5	4.2	0.9
	00	10.0	7.2	0.5
2000-2002	40	9.9	2.6	0.7
		0.0		<b></b>
2002-2004	53	11.7	3.4	0.7
2222 2225				
2003-2005	50	11.7	3.2	0.7
Danaant ahanna				
Percent change	20.00/	NI/A	20.40/	NI/A
1996-1998 vs.	-28.6%	N/A	-30.4%	N/A
2003-2005				

A. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Three major factors account for decreases in the number and rate of uninsured children in Massachusetts: eligibility expansion, increased outreach activities, and the increased public attention and activity resulting from the Health Care Reform discourse.

- B. Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates.
- The CPS is a labor market survey, and is not designed to measure the rate of health insurance coverage.
- The CPS is based on the previous twelve months of time. Thus, 2006 CPS data are based on the period from March 2004 through March 2005.
- The CPS is a "residual" estimate for the entire previous year. The CPS did improve on this residual methodology by adding a confirming health insurance coverage question starting in 2000.
- The state's DHCFP survey (see # 3 below) is a "point-in-time" estimate, with data collection efforts held from February 2006 through August 2006. Respondents answer the state sponsored survey based on their current insurance status. Experts do not agree on what timeframe the CPS survey measures (point-in-time vs. entire year's insurance status vs. part of the year).
- The CPS estimates insurance status for missing data using a mix of national averages. This
  disproportionately affects Massachusetts data due to our generous Medicaid program and our
  higher than average employer offered insurance base. This is a very complex and highly
  important issue that many believe makes up a large percentage of the discrepancy between CPS
  and state sponsored survey estimates.

3.	Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
	∑ Yes (please report your data in the table below)
	☐ No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	of the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP) by the Center for Survey Research at UMass-Boston.	
Reporting period (2 or more points in time)	1998, 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006	
Methodology	The methodology used for the 2006 survey was similar to that used in the previous surveys. The same basic survey questionnaire with modifications was used. A few questions were refined, added or deleted based on feedback received from prior surveys and public policy needs. There are two major differences in the 1998 survey compared to surveys undertaken after 1998.	
	First, after 1998, only "random digit dial" (RDD) telephone interviews, where the sample is drawn from telephone listings, were conducted. The 1998 survey also included an "area probability sample" (APS) or field survey. This field survey was based on a sample drawn from randomly selected addresses and included face-to-face interviews with households that were difficult or impossible to reach via telephone. An analysis of the results obtained from the two methodologies in 1998 (RDD and APS) showed no statistically significant differences in the estimate of the	

2006 Massachusetts Survey of Health Insurance Status, on behalf

Data source(s)

state uninsured percent or other factors. As the results were similar and it is guite expensive to conduct a survey using the APS methodology, a decision was made to conduct future surveys exclusively using the RDD methodology. Second, the 2000 and 2002 surveys include a survey of additional households in five urban areas in order to develop valid estimates of the percent uninsured and identify characteristics of the uninsured in these urban areas. The five urban areas are: Boston, Springfield, Worcester, Lowell/Lawrence and New Bedford/Fall River. The 2004 and 2006 surveys did not include an additional survey of urban areas. However, the sample size was increased to 4,725 households, nearly 12,000 individuals. This was a significant increase over the 2,625 households interviewed in the previous surveys. The data was collected from February 2006 through the first week of August 2006. The overall response rate was 60%, comparable to the previous three surveys. Interviews were conducted using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technology. The survey design is a simple stratified sample by five regional areas in the state. There were two areas with major survey question changes in 2004. One was adding a question to clarify someone's source of insurance. The second change was to clarify estimates of household income. The survey is designed to provide information on both the uninsured and insured populations. The questionnaire is divided into four parts. The first part, the screener section, asks for basic information on all household members, including whether or not each household member has health insurance coverage. The insured section asks detailed questions of the insured, the uninsured section asks detailed questions of the uninsured and a special section pertaining primarily to pharmacy coverage asks some specific questions of the population ages 65 or older. All households respond to the screener section and then continue to one or more sections as applicable. The questionnaire is available in both English and Spanish. Survey question responses are weighted in order to produce accurate population estimates. The weights adjust for design features of the sample. Some of these design features include: the sampling methodology, if the unit of interest is individual level or household level, and non-response. Population (Please include See methodology section ages and income levels) Sample sizes See methodology section Number and/or rate for two or 1998 - 6.3%more points in time 2000 - 3.0%2002 - 3.2%2004 - 3.2%2006 - 2.5%The results for 2006 are statistically significant from the 2004 Statistical significance of results results.

A. Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

Refer to answers given for question #2B above.

B. What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? Please provide standard errors, confidence intervals, and/or p-values if available.

The State deems the DHCFP survey to be more reliable than CPS data, for the reasons detailed in question #2B above. The range for the 0-18 age group was 1.9-3.1%.

C. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology?

Data collection efforts for the state's DHCFP survey only occur from February 2006 through August 2006 and not over the course of the whole year.

4. How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of SCHIP outreach activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this information.

MassHealth's outreach activities do not specifically target the SCHIP population, but all children eligible for MassHealth. Therefore, MassHealth cannot estimate the number of children enrolled in Medicaid through these activities. The MassHealth caseload has increased by over 17,000 children since October 2005.

## SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your SCHIP State Plan. The format of this section has been revised for FFY 2006 to provide your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- Reducing the number of uninsured children
- SCHIP enrollment
- Medicaid enrollment
- · Increasing access to care
- Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, please enter the data you reported for each objective in the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2004 and FFY 2005). In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the annual report.

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective.

#### Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

- New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.
- <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

## **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the
  data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you
  finalize them for FFY 2006.
- Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2006.
- <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

## **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications, HEDIS®-like specifications, or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®). If the measures were calculated using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like specifications, please indicate which version was used (e.g., HEDIS® 2006). If using HEDIS®-like specifications, please explain how HEDIS® was modified.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and SCHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims), hybrid data (claims and medical records), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source. In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

## **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure (such as age, continuous enrollment, type of delivery system). Also provide a definition of the numerator (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care , please also check one box to indicate whether the data are for the SCHIP population only, or include both SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

#### Year of Data:

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

#### **Performance Measurement Data:**

<u>Describe what is being measured</u>: Please provide a brief explanation of the information you intend to capture through the performance measure.

<u>Numerator</u>, <u>Denominator</u>, <u>and Rate</u>: Please report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). For the objectives related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, the template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or HEDIS®-like methodology or a methodology other than HEDIS®. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators, denominators, and rates for

each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on your measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure (or component). The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator. Alternatively, if numerators and denominators are not available, you may calculate an "unweighted average" by taking the mean rate across health plans.

## **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2007, 2008, and 2009. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2004 through 2006), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Reduce the number of uninsured children in the	Reduce the number of uninsured children in the	Reduce the number of uninsured children in the
commonwealth.	commonwealth.	commonwealth.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify.	Survey data. Specify.
Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP)	Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP)	Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (DHCFP)
Survey on Health Insurance Status and Current	Survey on Health Insurance Status and Current	Survey on Health Insurance Status and Current
Population Survey (CPS)	Population Survey (CPS)	Population Survey (CPS)
☐ Other. Specify:  Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Other. Specify:  Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Other. Specify:  Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Uninsured children and insured children under 19 yrs	Uninsured children and insured children under 19 yrs	Uninsured children and insured children under 19 yrs
old with a household income ≤200% FPL.	old with a household income ≤200% FPL.	old with a household income ≤200% FPL.
old with a nousehold income \$200% FFL.	old with a household income \$200% FFL.	old with a nousehold income \$200% FFL.
Year of Data: 2004 (DHCFP) and 2003 (CPS)	Year of Data: 2004 (DHCFP) and 2005 (CPS)	Year of Data: 2006
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Decrease the ratio of uninsured children to insured	Decrease the ratio of uninsured children to insured	Decrease the ratio of uninsured children to insured
children from 2:3 to 1:9.	children from 2:3 to 1:9.	children from 2:3 to 1:9.
	S	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
DHCFP estimated the ratio at 1:30 in their 2004 survey	DHCFP estimated the ratio at 1:30 in their 2004 survey	DHCFP estimated the ratio at 1:40 in their 2006 survey
of Health Insurance Status. The CPS March 2003	of Health Insurance Status. The CPS March 2005	of Health Insurance Status. The CPS March 2006
Supplement estimates the ratio at 1:11. Both estimates	Supplement estimates the ratio at 1:15. Both estimates	Supplement estimates the ratio at 1:22. Both estimates
indicate that Massachusetts is currently exceeding the	indicate that Massachusetts is currently exceeding the	indicate that Massachusetts is currently exceeding the
state objective.	state objective.	state objective.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006		
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)		
		Reduce the number of uninsured children (between		
		200-300% FPL) in the commonwealth.		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:		
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :		
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.		
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:		
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.		
Final.	Final.	Final.		
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:	reported:	reported:		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:		
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data		
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify: Division of Health Care Finance		
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	and Policy (DHCFP) Survey on Health Insurance Status		
		Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:		
		Percentage of children between 200-300% FPL who		
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	are uninsured		
		Definition of denominator:		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	All children between 200-300% FPL		
		Definition of numerator: Uninsured children between 200-		
		300% FPL		
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2006		
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:		
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured: The percentage of all		
Numerator:	Numerator:	children between 200 and 300 percent FPL who are		
Denominator:	Denominator:	uninsured.		
Rate:	Rate:	Numerator: <b>4,700</b>		
		Denominator: 288,000		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Rate: 1.63%		
		Additional notes on measure:		
Explanation of Progress:				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:				
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:			
Explain how these objectives were set:				

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Comments on Measure:		

# Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Continue to increase participation in the MassHealth	Continue to increase participation in the MassHealth	Continue to increase participation in the MassHealth
Family Assistance premium assistance program.	Family Assistance premium assistance program.	Family Assistance premium assistance program.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.	☑ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
☐ Final.	⊠ Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Measure 1: Comparison of children enrolled in Family	Measure 1: Comparison of children enrolled in Family	Measure 1: Comparison of children enrolled in Family
Assistance Premium Assistance (FA/PA) with those	Assistance Premium Assistance (FA/PA) with those	Assistance Premium Assistance (FA/PA) with those
enrolled in Family Assistance Direct Coverage (FA/DC).	enrolled in Family Assistance Direct Coverage (FA/DC).	enrolled in Family Assistance Direct Coverage (FA/DC).
Measure 2: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in	Measure 2: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in	Measure 2: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in
insured with those who came in uninsured.	insured with those who came in uninsured.	insured with those who came in uninsured.
Measure 3: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in	Measure 3: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in	Measure 3: Comparison of those in FA/PA who came in
uninsured with access to Employer Sponsored	uninsured with access to Employer Sponsored	uninsured with access to Employer Sponsored
Insurance (ESI) and met Title XXI requirements with	Insurance (ESI) and met Title XXI requirements with	Insurance (ESI) and met Title XXI requirements with
those who came in uninsured with access to ESI and	those who came in uninsured with access to ESI and	those who came in uninsured with access to ESI and
met 1115 waiver requirements.	met 1115 waiver requirements.	met 1115 waiver requirements.
<b>Definition of denominator:</b>	Definition of denominator:	<b>Definition of denominator:</b>
Measure 1: Children in FA/DC as of September 30,	Measure 1: Children in FA/DC as of September 30,	Measure 1: Children in FA/DC as of September 30,
<del>2004 = <b>18</b>,<b>699</b></del>	2005 (22,552) + children in FA/PA (5,215) = 27,767	2006 (35,102) + children in FA/PA (6,358) = 41,460
Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in insured as	total.	total.
of September 30, 2004 = <b>2,164</b>	Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in insured as	Magazina Q. Children in FA/DA
	of September 30, 2005 ( <b>2,383</b> ) + children FA/PA who	Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in insured as
Measure 3: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured	came in uninsured (2,832) = 5,215 total	of September 30, 2006 (3,179) + children FA/PA who
and met 1115 waiver requirements as of September 30, 2004 = <b>480</b>	Measure 3: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured	came in uninsured ( <b>3,179</b> ) = <b>6,358</b> total
	and met 1115 waiver requirements as of September 30,	Measure 3: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured
Definition of numerator:	2005 ( <b>573</b> ) + children in FA/PA who came in uninsured	and met 1115 waiver requirements as of September 30,
Measure 1: Children in FA/PA as of September 30,	and met Title XXI requirements (2,259) = 2,832 total	2006 ( <b>697</b> ) + children in FA/PA who came in uninsured
2004 <b>=4,711</b>	and mot this 700 requirements (2,200) - 2,002 total	and met Title XXI requirements (2,482) = 3,179 total

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
as of September 30, 2004 = <b>2,547</b> <u>Measure 3</u> : Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured and met Title XXI requirements as of September 30, 200= <b>2,067</b>	Measure 1: Children in FA/PA as of September 30, 2005 = <b>5,215</b>	Measure 1: Children in FA/PA as of September 30, 2006 = 6,358
	Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured as of September 30, 2005 = <b>2,832</b>	Measure 2: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured as of September 30, 2006 = <b>3,179</b>
	Measure 3: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured and met Title XXI requirements as of September 30, 2005= <b>2,259</b>	Measure 3: Children in FA/PA who came in uninsured and met Title XXI requirements as of September 30, 2006= 2,482
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Measure 1: <b>4,711</b> children are in FA/PA as of September 30th. An additional <b>18,699</b> are in FA/DC. Approximately <b>20%</b> of children in Family Assistance are in PA.	Measure 1: <b>5,215</b> children are in FA/PA as of September 30th. An additional <b>22,552</b> are in FA/DC. Approximately <b>19%</b> of children in Family Assistance are in PA.	Measure 1: 6,358 children are in FA/PA as of September 30th. An additional 35,102 are in FA/DC. Approximately 15% of children in Family Assistance are in PA.
Measure 2: 2,547children in FA/PA came in uninsured. 2,164 of children in FA/PA came in insured. Approximately 54% of children came in uninsured.	Measure 2: 2,832 children in FA/PA came in uninsured. 2,383of children in FA/PA came in insured. Approximately 54% of children came in uninsured.	Measure 2: <b>3,179</b> children in FA/PA came in uninsured. <b>3,179</b> of children in FA/PA came in insured. Approximately <b>50%</b> of children came in uninsured.
Measure 3: 2,067children met Title XXI requirements for access to ESI. 480 children met the 1115 waiver requirement for access to ESI. Approximately 81% of the uninsured children enrolled in FA/PA were enrolled through the Title XXI requirement.	Measure 3: 2,259 children met Title XXI requirements for access to ESI. 573 children met the 1115 waiver requirement for access to ESI. Approximately 80% of the uninsured children enrolled in FA/PA were enrolled through the Title XXI requirement.	Measure 3: 2,482 children met Title XXI requirements for access to ESI. 697 children met the 1115 waiver requirement for access to ESI. Approximately 78% of the uninsured children enrolled in FA/PA were enrolled through the Title XXI requirement.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
		, ,
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
	_	_
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# **Objectives Related to SCHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination	Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination	Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination
process (by eliminating certain verifications).	process (by eliminating certain verifications).	process (by eliminating certain verifications).
		,
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☐ Other. Specify: MassHealth Member Services
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Collapsing enrollment for safety-net. Unified application	In FFY05, three new programs were added to the	Average turnaround time for MBRs decreased from
process for uncompensated care pool users, Healthy	MassHealth eligibility determination system (MA21).	14.5 days in SFY05 to 8 days in SFY06. This is due to
Start, and the Children's Medical Security Plan.	These three programs account for seven new	several improvements, including: the ability to fax
	categories of assistance supported by MA21: four	follow-up verifications required to process electronic
	categories to determine eligibility for the Children's	MBRs; standardizing the outcome of eligibility
	Medical Security Plan, three categories for the SCHIP	determination decisions; and developing verification
	funded Healthy Start Program, and two for the state's	and matching processes with SSA and DOR.
	Safety Net Care Pool.	

FFY 2004

Explanation of Progress:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination	Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination	Improve the efficiency of the eligibility determination
process (by developing a fully automated eligibility	process (by enhancing and expanding access to	process (by enhancing and expanding access to
determination process).	MassHealth through implementation of an electronic	MassHealth through implementation of an electronic
	application process via the Virtual Gateway).	application process via the Virtual Gateway).
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
	Compare the number of online applications for	Compare the percentage of online applications for
Definition of denominator:	MassHealth via the Virtual Gateway in FFY 04 to FFY	MassHealth via the Virtual Gateway in FFY 05 to FFY
	05.	06.
Definition of numerator:		
Year of Data: 2004	Year of Data: 2005	Year of Data: 2006

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Additional notes on measure:		Performance Measurement Data:
	Performance Measurement Data: Total number of online applications in FFY04: 624 Total number of online applications in FFY05: 114,627  Additional notes on measure: Two months prior to FFY05, the Commonwealth implemented the first release of a new Virtual Gateway for the Executive Office of Health and Human Services (EOHHS). This web portal, which is integrated with the overall Mass.Gov site, is a comprehensive streamlining of information and transactions relating to Health and Human Services. This release provides the tools for the public to inquire into eligibility for health and nutrition programs, and for providers to sign people up, over the Internet, using one electronic form, for nine different health and nutrition programs—including MassHealth, Food Stamps, WIC, and others. An annual series of releases is planned to further extend these capabilities.  Beginning with 68 applications in its first month of operation (8/04), the number of online applications grew to 16,229 during the last month of FFY05 (9/05). This figure is also greater than the number of online applications received in the first 6 months combined of the Virtual Gateway's operation (13,307), another sign that those in need are hearing about and taking advantage of the electronic application process.	Performance Measurement Data:  The percentage of member benefit requests (MBRs) sent electronically via the Virtual Gateway increased from 28% in SFY05 to 60% in SFY06.
	In summary, MassHealth received 114,627 online applications in FFY05, a total that it hopes to exceed in FFY06.	
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:  Other Comments on Measure:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** 

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		
Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)		
Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Sou	rce of Care, Unmet Need)	EEX 2007

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
☐ Survey data.	Survey data.	☐ Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
		· · ·
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. <i>Specify version of HEDIS used</i> :	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
	AT.	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	4.1392	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		

Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	☐ Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. <i>Specify version of HEDIS used</i> :	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Kaic.	Nate.	Kate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		
Explain how these objectives were set:		
Other Comments on Measure:		

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Improve the health status and well being of children	Improve the health status and well being of children	Improve the health status and well being of children
enrolled in MassHealth direct coverage programs,	enrolled in MassHealth direct coverage programs,	enrolled in MassHealth direct coverage programs,
which includes the Primary Care Clinician (PCC) and	which includes the Primary Care Clinician (PCC) and	which includes the Primary Care Clinician (PCC) and
Managed Care organization (MCO) Plans:	Managed Care organization (MCO) Plans:	Managed Care organization (MCO) Plans:
Goal#1: Improve the delivery of well-child care by	Goal#1: Improve the delivery of well-child care by	Goal#1: Improve the delivery of well-child care by
measuring the number of well-child care visits and	measuring the number of well-child care visits and	measuring the number of well-child care visits and
implementing improvement activities as appropriate.	implementing improvement activities as appropriate.	implementing improvement activities as appropriate.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	□ Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:
Other. Explain: CMS 416 Report     □	⊠Other. Explain: CMS 416 Report	◯Other. Explain: CMS 416 Report
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
CMS Directive - participation ratio which compares the	CMS Directive - participation ratio which compares the	CMS Directive - participation ratio which compares the
number of children and adolescents who were due to	number of children and adolescents who were due to	number of children and adolescents who were due to
receive a well-child visit within the reporting period with the number of who actually attended a visit.	receive a well-child visit within the reporting period with the number of who actually attended a visit.	receive a well-child visit within the reporting period with the number of who actually attended a visit.
the number of who actually attended a visit.	the number of who actually attenued a visit.	the number of who actually attended a visit.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in	Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in	Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in
FFY 03 adjusted for length of eligibility.	FFY 04 adjusted for length of eligibility.	FFY 05 adjusted for length of eligibility.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data: FFY 2003	Year of Data: FFY 2004	Year of Data: FFY 2005
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator: Number of MassHealth children enrolled who had a well-child visit in accordance with the EPSDT Medical Protocol and Periodicity Schedule.  Denominator: Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in FFY 03 adjusted for length of eligibility.  Rate: 71 % for FFY03 (vs. 66% for FFY02)  Additional notes on measure:	Numerator: Number of MassHealth children enrolled who had a well-child visit in accordance with the EPSDT Medical Protocol and Periodicity Schedule.  Denominator: Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in FFY 04 adjusted for length of eligibility.  Rate: 73% for FFY04  Additional notes on measure:  MassHealth: (1) Continued to utilize the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners "Recommendations for Pediatric Preventive Care" as its standard for well-child care for all providers. These Guidelines were revised in May 2005 and have been widely distributed and adapted as wall posters, a condensed desktop version, pocket cards, and web resources. They have been included in member and provider newsletters, as have multiple articles relating to the timing, importance of, and reasons for accessing well-child care; (2) Continued to produce linguistically and culturally appropriate materials related to well-child care to support providers and members; (3) Continued to work on the MassHealth Adolescent Anticipatory Guidance Public Awareness Campaign (MAAGPAC) in an effort to increase adolescent well-child care visit rates by expanding the scope to include additional transit operations and schools in targeted cities and by forming collaboration with school nurses	Numerator: Number of MassHealth children enrolled who had a well-child visit in accordance with the EPSDT Medical Protocol and Periodicity Schedule. Denominator: Number of MassHealth Standard Children enrolled in FFY 05 adjusted for length of eligibility.  Rate: 77% for FFY05  Additional notes on measure  MassHealth: (1) Worked to assure that the guidelines of the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners "Recommendations for Pediatric Preventive Care" were integrated as a standard for well-child care across all provider types. These Guidelines are endorsed by MassHealth and used as a basis for the EPSDT Protocol and Periodicity Schedule. The guidelines are widely distributed as wall posters, a condensed desktop version, pocket cards, and web resources. They have been included in member and provider newsletters, as have multiple articles relating to the timing, importance of, and reasons for accessing well-child care; (2) Continued to produce linguistically and culturally appropriate materials related to well-child care to support providers and members; (3) Continued to work on adolescent health care activities through its efforts on the MassHealth Adolescent Anticipatory Guidance Public Awareness Campaign (MAAGPAC), which aims to increase

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
FFY 2004	throughout the state;  (4) Lead a Maternal Child Workgroup consisting of representatives of all MCOs, the PCC Plan, the Department of Public Health, and other related groups, who continued to share best practices and to utilize their resources to implement joint projects;  (5) Coordinated with other agencies of the Executive Office of Health and Human Services and advocacy groups such as the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, WIC, Early Intervention, First Link and EI Partnerships, Children's Trust Fund, and the Consortium for Children with Special Health Care Needs;  (6) Continued with a data sharing agreement with WIC, utilizing shared data to identify and notify MassHealth members who are not WIC participants regarding WIC eligibility and enrollment process/benefits; and  (7) Continued to participate in the CMS Health Start/Grow Smart booklet distribution to all new mothers for the first year of their babies' life, promoting and educating parents about normal and expected growth and development.	scope to include additional transit operations and schools in targeted cities and by forming collaborations with school nurses throughout the state;  (4) Worked with school based health centers in order to reduce the barriers for access to the centers;  (5) Participated on the Governor's Adolescent Health Council to strategize on approaches to coordination of care across the state;  (6) Convened a Maternal Child Workgroup consisting of representatives of all MCOs, the PCC Plan, the Department of Public Health, and other related groups, who continued to share best practices and to utilize their resources to implement joint projects;  (7) Coordinated with other agencies of the Executive Office of Health and Human Services and advocacy groups such as the Department of Youth Services, Department of Social Services, Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program, WIC, Early Intervention, Early Intervention Partnerships, Newborn Hearing Screening Program, Kindergarten Screening Program, Children's Trust Fund, and the Consortium for Children with Special Health Care Needs;  (8) Continued with a data sharing agreement with WIC, utilizing shared data to identify and notify MassHealth members who are not WIC participants regarding WIC eligibility and enrollment process/benefits;  (9) Continued to participate in the CMS Health Start/Grow Smart booklet distribution to all new mothers for the first year of their babies' lives, promoting and educating parents about normal and expected growth and development; and  (10) Participated on several committees of the Massachusetts Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, including the Pediatric Council, where issues of coverage, reimbursement, and anticipated new procedures are discussed in an effort to reduce barriers to care.

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

C 1 42			
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	
Improve the immunization rates by measuring the rate	Improve the immunization rates by measuring the rate	Improve the immunization rates by measuring the rate	
of immunization administration and implement	of immunization administration and implement	of immunization administration and implement	
improvement activities as appropriate.	improvement activities as appropriate.	improvement activities as appropriate.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	
Continuing.		Continuing.	
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
	Final.	☐ Final.	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported: 2004	reported: 2005	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	
☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	
Childhood/Adolescent Immunization Status		Childhood/Adolescent Immunization Status	
HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	
Other. Explain:  Data Source:	Other. Explain:  Data Source:	Other. Explain:  Data Source:	
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	
☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Childhood Immunization: Members who turned two	☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Childhood Immunization: Members who turned two	
years old during the measurement year who were	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	years old during the measurement year who were	
continuously enrolled for 12 months immediately	Definition of numerator:	continuously enrolled for 12 months prior to their	
preceding their second birthday.		second birthday.	
Adolescent Immunization: Members who turned 13		Adolescent Immunization: Members who turned 13	
years old during the measurement year who were		years old during the measurement year who were	
continuously enrolled for 12 months immediately prior to		continuously enrolled for 12 months prior to their 13 <sup>th</sup>	
their 13 <sup>th</sup> birthday.		birthday.	
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		☐ Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	
☐ Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
Definition of numerator:		Definition of numerator:	
Childhood Immunization: Eligible members who had		Childhood Immunization: Eligible members who had	
four DtaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza		four DtaP/DT, three IPV, one MMR, three H influenza	

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
type B, and three hepatitis B (Combination 1) vaccines and all these vaccines and at least one VZV (Combination 2) by the time period specified and by the child's second birthday.  Adolescent Immunization: Eligible members who had a second dose of MMR and three hepatitis B vaccines		type B, three hepatitis B, and one chicken pox vaccine (Combination 2) and all these vaccines plus four pneumococcal conjugate vaccines (Combination 3) by the time period for each vaccination and by the child's second birthday.  Adolescent Immunization: Eligible members who had a
(Combination 1) and all these vaccines and one VZV (Combination 2) by the member's 13 <sup>th</sup> birthday.	Y AD .	second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccines, and one chicken pox vaccine ( <b>Combination 2</b> ) by the member's 13 <sup>th</sup> birthday.
Year of Data: 2003	Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2005
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
	Rate:	Rate:
Rate: Childhood Immunization: <b>Combination 1</b> - 76.1% and <b>Combination 2</b> – 72.8% for 2003. (For 2001: Childhood	Additional notes on measure:	Childhood Immunization: <b>Combination 2</b> – 79.6% and <b>Combination 3</b> – 61.7% for 2005.
Immunization: Combination 1 - 72.5% and Combination 2 – 66.8%)		Adolescent Immunization: <b>Combination 2</b> – 71.3% for 2005.
Adolescent Immunization: <b>Combination 1</b> - 79.0% and <b>Combination 2</b> - 66.8% for 2003. (For 2001: Adolescent Immunization: Combination 1 - 64.4% and Combination 2 - 48.5%)		Additional notes on measure: Since 2003, the HEDIS specifications for Childhood Immunization Status changed to eliminate Combination 1 and create a Combination 3. The HEDIS specifications for Adolescent Immunization
Additional notes on measure:		Status changes to eliminate Combination 1.
(1) Participated as a mentoring state in the Government Performance Results Act (GPRA) for immunization rate improvement. Although formal measurement activities have ended, MassHealth staff has continued to participate in the group to assist with information sharing and conveying lessons learned from the project in MA.		MassHealth: (1)Continued to work closely with the Massachusetts Department of Public Health Immunization Program to implement the activities outlined in an Interagency Service Agreement. These activities include the sharing of the Immunization Assessment of MassHealth
(2)Continued to work closely with the Massachusetts Department of Public Health Immunization Program, to implement the activities outlined in an Interagency Service Agreement. These activities include sharing of the Immunization Assessment of MassHealth providers completed by the MIP in order to implement QI efforts with the MCOs and the PCC Plan as well as the annual distribution of the MDPH Immunization Guidelines to		providers completed by the MIP in order to implement QI efforts with the MCOs and the PCC Plan as well as the annual distribution of the MDPH Immunization Guidelines to providers. DPH and MassHealth staff worked collaboratively to ensure that all new immunizations and/or those that are in short supply are available to MassHealth children and funded for the providers.

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006
providers.  (3)Continued to collaborate with the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) in the revision and distribution of the "Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Care" to all providers. These Guidelines have been widely distributed and adapted as wall posters, a condensed desktop version, pocket cards and web		(2)Continued to collaborate with the Massachusetts Health Quality Partners (MHQP) in the revision and distribution of the "Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Care" to all providers. These Guidelines have been widely distributed and adapted as wall posters, a condensed desktop version, pocket cards, web resources, and include the MA Immunization schedule.
resources and include the MA Immunization schedule. (4) Participated in the Massachusetts Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics Immunization Initiative and worked with the pediatricians to institute a more uniform billing/coding system amongst the various		(3) Participated in the Massachusetts Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics Immunization Initiative and worked with the pediatricians to institute a more uniform billing/coding system amongst the various insurers.
insurers. (5)Continued to distribute a booklet jointly prepared by MassHealth and its contracted MCOs, the MDPH Immunization Program, and UMass Center for Health Policy and Research, entitled "Best Practices to Prevent Missed Opportunities in Childhood Immunization".		(4)Continued to distribute a booklet jointly prepared by MassHealth and its contracted MCOs, the MDPH Immunization Program, and UMass Center for Health Policy and Research, entitled "Best Practices to Prevent Missed Opportunities in Childhood Immunization".
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Describe what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Describe what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Describe what is being measured: Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:		
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:		

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006		
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:		
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:		
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.		
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:		
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.		
Final.	Final.	Final.		
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:	reported:	reported:		
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:		
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:		
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:		
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:		
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:		
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).		
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).		
Survey data.	Survey data.	Survey data.		
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:		
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>		
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:		
Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.	Denominator includes SCHIP population only.		
Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes SCHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:		
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Year of Data:		
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>		
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)		
	AT.			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:		
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:		
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:		
Additional notes on massures	Additional notes on massyman	Additional notes on massyme		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		

FFY 2004	FFY 2005	FFY 2006			
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:			
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)			
Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:	Describe what is being measured:			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:			
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:			
Explanation of Progress:					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2007:					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2008:					
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2009:					

Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? What have you found?

As MassHealth members, SCHIP eligible children are included in various MassHealth quality activities. MassHealth calculated HEDIS indicators in 2006 and 2005 and conducted Clinical Topic Reviews (CTR) in 2004 and 2005. HEDIS 2006 indicators addressed areas including child and adolescent immunization, asthma in children, well child care indicators, and children and adolescent's access to primary care practitioners. HEDIS 2005 indicators included Appropriate Treatment for Children with Upper Respiratory Infections, Follow-up after Hospitalization for Mental Illness (children 6 years and older), and Initiation of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment (adolescents 13-17 years). The 2004 CTR examined women's health issues, which included adolescent females beginning at age 11. The 2005 CTR addressed the promotion of healthy development in children, ages 3 to 48 months, through a member survey and medical record review. Copies of final HEDIS and CTR reports are available upon request.

MassHealth conducted its biennial (CAHPS) member satisfaction survey in 2004 and is in the middle of conducting the 2006 CAHPS survey. Copies of final CAHPS reports are available upon request.

In addition, contracted MCOs worked on and submitted reports regarding standard QI Goals in the areas of Maternal and Child Health and Special Populations, and plan-specific goals that had initiatives addressing children with special health care needs, individuals with physical disabilities, asthma, diabetes, and culturally and linguistically appropriate services, among others. In 2005-2006, MCOs worked to standardize goals in the areas of asthma, diabetes, care management, and maternal and child health. The latter includes components addressing childhood and adolescent primary care visits and improved rates of childhood lead screening.

The PCC Plan produces a semiannual PCC Profile report that includes individual and comparative data on a number of measures, including well child care and adolescent well care indicators and asthma in children ages 5 to 17. The PCC Plan works directly with PCCs to review this information and implement action plans to improve performance in these areas.

The PCC Plan also has a quality improvement project aimed at increasing the use of asthma controller (anti-inflammatory) medications by PCC Plan pediatric members identified as having persistent asthma.

2. What strategies does your SCHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your SCHIP population? When will data be available?

MassHealth plans to continue monitoring access and quality through its HEDIS, CTR, and member survey initiatives. In addition, MCOs will continue to strive towards standardized QI Goals (please see response to Question 1 above). Availability of reports differs by project.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your SCHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found?

Please see response to question 1 above.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your SCHIP program's performance. Please list attachments here and summarize findings or list main findings.

Copies of the HEDIS and Clinical Topic Review reports are available upon request.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

# SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### **O**UTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period?

MassHealth provides technical access points with which to engage in outreach activities, including an online application process called the Virtual Gateway. The goal of the Virtual Gateway is to provide a single point of intake, eligibility screening, and referral services for applicants. This allows potential applicants of health and human services in the Commonwealth, either directly through the web or with assistance from a health and human services agency or a patient-accounts staff person, to obtain information and to gain access to available HHS programs. In addition, providers are also able to track electronically submitted applications.

In SFY06, MassHealth awarded \$500,000 in mini-grants to 22 community-based organizations across the state to increase MassHealth enrollment. MassHealth worked closely with these grantees to give them the knowledge and tools to enroll new MassHealth members. One component of this effort was training those grantees who were not already doing so to submit electronic applications for MassHealth. Each of the grantees tailored their programs to meet the needs of the people and regions they serve. To buttress training provided by MassHealth, grantees used novel approaches for outreach, including health fairs, public notices, multi-lingual collaborations with YMCAs, YWCAs, hospitals, community service organizations, soup kitchens, homeless shelters, clinics, schools, and businesses, as well print, radio, and television marketing campaigns. Grantees carried out their activities from November 2005 to June 30, 2006 and enrolled over 11,000 new members into the MassHealth program.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness?

We have found the following methods to be most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children:

Massachusetts continues as a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Covering Kids' site, for which MassHealth collaborates with the advocacy group Health Care for All. MassHealth also continues to work with the medical community, including the Massachusetts Hospital Association, the Massachusetts Medical Society, and the American Academy of Pediatrics to promote the MassHealth program. Providers are encouraged to participate in training sessions on MassHealth and are supplied with enrollment kits titled "What to do when an Uninsured Child Shows up at your Door".

To support member education efforts, MassHealth continues to provide funding for the Health Access Networks (HANs). HANs were developed in partnership with the University of Massachusetts Medical School's Area Health Education Center (AHEC) as a forum to share information, strategies, and experiences on effective member education practices. HANs have been established in each of the six regional areas and continued to meet monthly during SFY06. MassHealth Operations continues to fund and provide leadership for this effort as MassHealth Technical Forums. These meetings currently promote information dissemination, sharing of best practices, and building of community/public sector linkages to increase targeted outreach and member education information about MassHealth.

3. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)? Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness?

Outreach activities include print, TV, and radio advertisements to the Latino, Portuguese, Cambodian, Russian, and Chinese communities. MassHealth continues to translate materials into Spanish, Portuguese, Chinese, Vietnamese, Haitian Creole, Russian, Cambodian, Laotian, French, and Arabic.

The Member Education Unit conducts scheduled yearly in-service presentations with the Massachusetts Office of Refugees and Immigrants-Refugee Resettlement Training Unit, advocates for the homeless, shelters, and other facilities working with this population, and the Massachusetts Department of Veteran's Services. These presentations provide education regarding MassHealth benefits, the application process, and post-enrollment activities.

# SUBSTITUTION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)

States with a separate child health program above 200 through 250% of FPL must complete question 1. All other states with trigger mechanisms should also answer this question.

1.		state cover children between 200 and 250 percent of the FPL or does it identify a trigger or point at which a substitution prevention policy is instituted?
	Yes     No     N/A	
	If yes, plea instituted.	se identify the trigger mechanisms or point at which your substitution prevention policy is
		between 200 - 300% FPL are subject to a waiting period of six months from the loss of ponsored group coverage. See below for additional detail.
	complete	ith separate child health programs over 250% of FPL must e question 2. All other states with substitution prevention as should also answer this question.
2.		state cover children above 250 percent of the FPL or does it employ substitution provisions?
	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
	If yes, iden	tify your substitution prevention provisions (waiting periods, etc.).
	conducts a and is cost-	n up to 200% FPL who appear to have employer-sponsored group coverage, MassHealth health insurance investigation to determine if the insurance meets MassHealth standards effective. If there is access to qualified health insurance coverage, the children will be premium assistance toward the cost of their employer-sponsored insurance.
	premium as the previou coverage w	n between 200 and 300 percent FPL, MassHealth will not provide direct coverage or sistance if a family had employer-sponsored group coverage for applying children within s six months. Families in this income range which had employer-sponsored group within the previous six months will be subject to a six-month waiting period, from the date of erage, before being allowed to enroll. Exceptions from this waiting period will be made for a which:
	(a)	A child or children has special or serious health care needs;
	(b)	The prior coverage was involuntarily terminated, including withdrawal of benefits by an employer, involuntary job loss, or COBRA expiration;
	(c)	A parent in the family group died in the previous six months;
	(d)	The prior coverage was lost due to domestic violence;
	(e)	The prior coverage was lost due to becoming self-employed; or

of

(f) The existing coverage's lifetime benefits were reduced substantially within the previous six months, or prior employer-sponsored health insurance was cancelled for this reason.

During the first five months of state fiscal year 2007, exceptions to the crowd-out policy were used seven times.

If MassHealth finds a significant level of crowd-out, it will reevaluate the exceptions to the waiting period to determine if they are contributing to crowd-out, and modify them as necessary

#### All States must complete the following 3 questions

3. Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and the effectiveness of your policies.

See question #2 above.

4. At the time of application, what percent of applicants are found to have insurance?

Approximately 11.5% of children below 200% FPL and otherwise eligible for the separate child health program had insurance at the time of application. For those with qualifying insurance enrolled in premium assistance, MassHealth receives the standard Medicaid match rather than the enhanced SCHIP match.

Since the inception of the 200-300% FPL expansion on July 1, 720 applying children have either declared private insurance coverage or been found to have private insurance coverage. MassHealth does not provide premium assistance or direct coverage to children above 200% FPL who are insured.

5. Describe the incidence of substitution. What percent of applicants drop group health plan coverage to enroll in SCHIP?

Because MassHealth requires that those below 200% FPL with employer-sponsored insurance that is cost-effective and meets the basic benefit level to purchase that insurance, there is no substitution in this income group. In the 200-300% FPL group, the six month waiting period effectively eliminates the risk of substitution.

#### COORDINATION BETWEEN SCHIP AND MEDICAID

(This subsection should be completed by States with a Separate Child Health Program)

- 1. Do you have the same redetermination procedures to renew eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP (e.g., the same verification and interview requirements)? Please explain.
  - MassHealth does not differentiate between children enrolling in MassHealth and children enrolling in MassHealth due to SCHIP eligibility. The redetermination procedures are the same for all children.
- 2. Please explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to SCHIP and from SCHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please explain.
  - MassHealth does not differentiate between children enrolling in MassHealth and children enrolling in MassHealth due to SCHIP eligibility. As long as the child remains eligible for MassHealth, movements among categories of assistance are seamless for the member. Members receive written notice of any changes in benefits.
- 3. Are the same delivery systems (including provider networks) used in Medicaid and SCHIP? Please explain.
  - MassHealth does not differentiate between children enrolling in MassHealth and children enrolling in MassHealth due to SCHIP eligibility. All children enrolled in MassHealth have access to the same delivery systems.

# ELIGIBILITY REDETERMINATION AND RETENTION

1.	What measures does your State employ to retain eligible children in SCHIP? Please check all that apply and provide descriptions as requested.
	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
$\geq$	Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
	<ul> <li>How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?</li> <li>2 notices</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) 15 days</li> </ul>
	Sends targeted mailings to selected populations
	Please specify population(s) (e.g., lower income eligibility groups)
	Holds information campaigns
$\triangleright$	MassHealth provides funding for the Health Access Networks (HANs). HANs were developed in partnership with the University of Massachusetts Medical School's Area Health Education Center (AHEC) as a forum to share information, strategies and experiences on effective Member Education practices. MassHealth Operations continues to fund and provide leadership for this effort as MassHealth Technical Forums. The meetings currently promote information dissemination, sharing of best practices, and building of community/public sector linkages to increase targeted outreach and member education information about MassHealth.
$\geq$	Provides a simplified reenrollment process,
	Please describe efforts (e.g., reducing the length of the application, creating combined Medicaid/SCHIP application)  The state has employed a combined Medicaid/SCHIP application and renewal form. The reenrollment form is simpler and eliminates questions not subject to change.
	Conducts surveys or focus groups with disenrollees to learn more about reasons for disenrollment please describe:
	] Other, please explain:
2.	Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology.
	Renewal reminder notices have been effective. Retention strategies were not evaluated in this report period.
3.	Does your State generate monthly reports or conduct assessments that track the outcomes of individuals who disenroll, or do not reenroll, in SCHIP (e.g., how many obtain other public or private coverage, how many remain uninsured, how many age-out, how many move to a new geographic area)
	☐ Yes

		No: Due to en undertak		e and com	plexity of	the adminis	strative da	ta, this typ	e of analy	sis has not	t
				,							
		en was the	-	•							
		onded yes to					ummary o	f the most	recent find	dings (in th	е
		rom Report			dividuals		enroll, or				
Total Number of Dis- enrollees		Obtain other public or private coverage		Remain uninsured		Age-out		Move to new geographic area		Other	
<u> </u>	1011000	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Dla	aso dos	cribe the da	ata source	(o.g. tolor	phono or r	nail curvov	focus ar	June) usod	l to dorivo	thic	
	ormation		ila source	(e.g., leiel	onone or i	nan survey	, locus gri	oups) useu	i to derive	uus	
C	ST SHA	ARING									
1.		ur State und ation in SC					f premium	ıs/enrollme	ent fees or	1	
	include childre this pre	ate continue s SCHIP ch n were reque mium. In th of membe	ildren in a ired to pa e entire p	addition to only a premium bill	other popu m. Of thos ing popula	ulations. Du se, 873, or ation (inclu	uring SFY 2.28%, we ding but n	2006, 38,3 ere disenro ot exclusiv	338 undup olled for fai e to SCHI	licated ilure to pay P children)	),
2.		ur State und s in SCHIP					f cost sha	ring on util	ization of	health	
	No.										
3.	underta	state has in aken any as lization of he	sessment	of the imp	act of thes	se changes	on applic	ation, enro			ıt,
		ate has not monitoring								State is	
PF	REMIUM	ASSISTAN	CE PROG	RAM(S) U	NDER SC	CHIP STA	TE PLAN				
1.		our State of any of the fo			ance prog	gram for ch	ildren and	/or adults (	using Title	XXI funds	
		Yes, please No, skip to									
Ch	ildren										
	_	es, Check a	II that app	oly and com	nplete eac	h question	for each a	authority.			
		remium Ass amily Cover				lan					

		SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration  Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration  Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration  Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)
Ad	lults	
	$\boxtimes$	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Premium Assistance under the State Plan (Incidentally) Family Coverage Waiver under the State Plan SCHIP Section 1115 Demonstration Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Health Insurance Flexibility & Accountability Demonstration Premium Assistance under the Medicaid State Plan (Section 1906 HIPP)
2.	Plea	se indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		Parents and Caretaker Relatives Childless Adults
3.	Brie	fly describe your program (including current status, progress, difficulties, etc.)
	spor on b child emp mus Con	MassHealth Family Assistance Premium Assistance program is designed to make employer insored insurance (ESI) affordable to low-income workers. Premium Assistance offers subsidies, we half of eligible MassHealth members, to help low-wage workers pay their share of ESI for d(ren). MassHealth requires that the ESI meet the following minimum requirements: the ployers must contribute at least 50% to the cost of the health insurance premium, the offered plan at meet the basic benefit level, and providing premium assistance must be cost effective for the monwealth. In order to meet the cost sharing requirements, out of pocket expenses to the other cannot exceed 5% of the family's income.
4.	Wha	at benefit package does the program use?
	Sec	retary approved per the state plan amendment approved in March 2002.
5.	Doe	s the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits or cost sharing?
	No.	
Titl	e XX	fy the total number of children and adults enrolled in the premium assistance program for whom I funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in premium ce even if they were covered incidentally and not via the SCHIP family coverage provision).
		* Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
		* Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
	30,	ssHealth does not maintain the data in the format requested above. However, as of September 2006, 3,179, children were enrolled in FA/PA and met the Title XXI requirements. MassHealth inues to estimate that an additional 1.5 adults per child are covered by default.

7. Identify the estimated amount of substitution, if any, that occurred or was prevented as a result of your premium assistance program. How was this measured?

See Substitution of Coverage Section.

8. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your premium assistance program has experienced?

Maintenance of information about members continues to be a challenge. We need to constantly keep up-to-date on whether the member is still employed and has access to insurance, what insurance plan the member is enrolled in, what the employer contribution to the insurance is, and what the new rates for insurance are each year so that we can make accurate premium assistance payments.

9. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your premium assistance program?

We were able to expand participation in Premium Assistance by updating the cost effectiveness rates. This resulted in more members being eligible for Premium Assistance and shifted members on Direct Coverage to Premium Assistance. The Health Care Reform expansion of Mass Health coverage to high income groups also represents an opportunity to expand premium assistance.

We continue to increase enrollment by using automated methods to verify insurance which ensures that premium payments are accurate. We have also improved how we target cases. For example, we look at large families with access to employer-sponsored insurance (ESI). These cases are more likely to be cost effective in terms of providing premium assistance. The employer database continues to provide us with relevant information on whether the employer offers insurance, how much the employer contributes, if the insurance offered meets our benefit level, etc. This employer information database greatly improves our ability to target investigations and to successfully enroll family assistance children in cost-effective ESI.

10. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your premium assistance program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

We were able to change the cost effectiveness rates to FY05 Actual PMPM rates, thereby increasing Family Assistance participation by 27%. We are planning to track enrollment in Premium Assistance as a result of the Health Care Reform by creating reports that will capture this information.

11. Indicate the effect of your premium assistance program on access to coverage. How was this measured?

While children continue to be the primary beneficiaries of the program, adults also benefit by obtaining access to health insurance by default. MassHealth purchases the family plan from the employer to cover the children, and then parents are covered as well. This will prove beneficial for the Health Care Reform population that have access to ESI and are uninsured. The increase in FPL below 300% will allow families previously not eligible to qualify for the program.

12. What do you estimate is the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured?

MassHealth has not estimated the impact of premium assistance on enrollment and retention of children.

13. Identify the total state expenditures for family coverage during the reporting period. (For states offering premium assistance under a family coverage waiver only.)

N/A

Enter any Narrative text below.

# PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE SCHIP PROGRAMS, I.E., THOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)

1. Does your state have a written plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures for prevention, investigation and referral of cases of fraud and abuse? Please explain:

It is important to point out that while Massachusetts' SCHIP has a "separate" component –that is, a component that is not Medicaid expansion– the Commonwealth does not have a "stand alone" SCHIP program. SCHIP is managed and operated seamlessly as one program component of the broader MassHealth program. Therefore, while there are no separate fraud and abuse activities for SCHIP, all methods and procedures employed by the Commonwealth to detect, investigate, and refer cases of fraud and abuse in the MassHealth program are brought to bear on SCHIP. In Massachusetts, state staff performs all application, redetermination, matching, case maintenance, and referral processes for all MassHealth programs, including SCHIP. All contractual arrangements regarding fraud and abuse activities apply to SCHIP as well as Medicaid.

MassHealth emphasizes aggressive management of its front-end program processes to ensure that services provided are medically necessary, provided by qualified health care providers, provided to eligible residents of the Commonwealth, and that payments are appropriately made. Ongoing efforts to combat fraud, waste, and abuse, including utilization management and regular program and clinical review, are central to all program areas. Sophisticated information systems support MassHealth's efforts to detect inappropriate billings before payment is made, and to ensure that eligibility determinations are accurate.

Equally important are mechanisms for detailed reporting and review of claims after bills are paid to identify inappropriate provider behavior, and methods to ensure that MassHealth identifies members whose changed circumstances may affect their continuing eligibility. As with our front-end processes, information systems are a critical component of MassHealth's work to identify and address inappropriate payments. Post-payment activities are an important "second look" and are particularly important to the identification of prosecutable fraud. And when our systems identify potential fraud, MassHealth acts aggressively to pursue the case with the appropriate authorities.

MassHealth has the following documentation regarding established methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse:

- 1) MassHealth Program Integrity Activities Inventory
- 2) Efforts to Prevent and Identify Fraud, Waste, and Abuse—description and identification of responsible units
- 3) Provider Compliance activity sheet
- 4) Utilization Management plan
- 5) Memorandum of Understanding between EOHHS and the Office of the Attorney General Massachusetts Medicaid Fraud Control Unit
- 6) Interdepartmental Service Agreement between EOHHS and the Department of Revenue (DOR)
- 7) MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 04-04 re: New Member Fraud Referral Process
- 8) MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 01-7 re: Department of Revenue "New Hire" Match
- MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo 99-14 re: Annual Eligibility Review Process for Health Care Reform Members on MA-21
- 10) Contract between EOHHS and MedStat Group to perform Program Integrity gap analysis—deliverables due June 30, 2005
- 11) Recipient Eligibility Verification System (REVS) codes—online system for providers to verify MassHealth eligibility at point of service
- 12) Managed care contract amendment language specifying program integrity and fraud and abuse prevention, detection, and reporting requirements for health plans contracting with MassHealth

2. For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:

Provider Credentialing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Provider Billing

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Beneficiary Eligibility

Number of cases investigated

Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials

Massachusetts does not track program integrity data for the separate SCHIP program. All data are for the entire MassHealth program, which includes Medicaid, Medicaid-expansion, and separate SCHIP programs.

3. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does you state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain:

First, the Program Integrity Unit, operated with the University of Massachusetts Medical School (UMMS), is our primary post-payment fraud detection unit. Utilizing a specialized software application, and through data analysis, the Program Integrity Unit reviews paid claims data to detect aberrant trends and outlier billing patterns that can indicate potential fraud. The Unit, which works closely with Medicaid Fraud Control Unit and our legal staff, meets our federal regulatory obligation to establish a surveillance utilization control system to safeguard against fraudulent, abusive, and inappropriate use of the Medicaid program. Second, MassHealth's Internal Control Unit, while not primarily a fraud detection unit, plays an important role by establishing unit-specific internal control plans and risk assessments. That unit also manages external audit activity, coordinates the CMS PAM project, and makes suspected member fraud referrals to BSI.

Our current Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) processes provider claims and contains a significant number of sophisticated edits, rules, and other program integrity checks and balances. As a result, approximately 21% of all claims submitted are denied and a substantial number are suspended for review or verification. EOHHS is currently involved in a multi-year project to design and implement a new MMIS system and has included language in that contract to incorporate new fraud and abuse support in the new MMIS. We view the implementation of our new MMIS as an opportunity to enhance our ability to detect and deter inappropriate claims. More generally, information systems support to MassHealth remains a significant priority of EOHHS, in large part because of the potential of leveraging technology to combat fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicaid program. The EOHHS Data Warehouse is a consolidated repository of claims and eligibility data that provides program and financial managers with the ability to develop standard and ad-hoc management reports.

The Claims Operations Unit manages our claims processing contractor and monitors claims activity weekly. The EOHHS Office of Financial Management organizes a weekly Cash Management Team made up of budget, program, and operations staff that closely monitors the weekly provider claims payroll and compares year-to-date cash spending with budgeted spending by both provider type and budget category. The prior authorization unit ensures that certain services are medically necessary before approving the service. Even more sophisticated measures are in place for the pharmacy program. The Drug Utilization Review program at UMMS monitors and audits pharmacy claims and is designed to prevent early refills, therapeutic duplication, ingredient duplication, and problematic

drug-drug interaction. In February 2004, our Managed Care Program instituted required reporting on fraud and abuse protections for all of MassHealth's managed care organizations.

Finally, the MassHealth Operations unit provides close oversight of a contract for customer services to MassHealth members and providers. MassHealth currently employs two separate vendors for customer services, one responsible for provider relations and another for member relations. The integration of these vendor services brings with it many new opportunities in the program integrity area. Our customer services contractor verifies the credentials of all providers applying to participate in our program as well as re-credentialing existing providers and will work closely with the Board of Registration in Medicine, the Division of Professional Licensing, the Department of Public Health, the US Department of Health and Human Services, and the Office of the Inspector General to identify disciplinary actions against enrolled providers.

# SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period equals Federal Fiscal Year 2006. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

#### **COST OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2006	2007	2008
Insurance payments	\$3,825,329	\$5,680,807	\$6,149,088
Managed Care	\$85,496,291	\$136,139,123	\$164,136,630
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles			
Fee for Service	\$154,336,206	\$187,231,449	\$212,874,288
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)			
Net Benefit Costs	\$243,657,826	\$329,051,379	\$383,160,006

#### **Administration Costs**

Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other Indirect Cost			
Health Services Initiatives			
Total Administration Costs	\$2,714,896	\$2,986,386	\$3,285,024
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	\$27,073,092	\$36,561,264	\$42,573,334

Federal Title XXI Share	\$160,142,270	\$215,824,547	\$251,189,270
State Share	\$86,230,453	\$116,213,218	\$135,255,761
	_	_	

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED SCHIP PLAN	\$246,372,722	\$332,037,765	\$386,445,031

2	What were the sources	of non-Federal fund	ing used for State match	during the reporting	neriod2
_	vviiai wele lile soliices	oi non-recelai illio	ino useo ioi siale maici		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

$\bowtie$	State appropriations
	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify)

Enter any Narrative text below.

Fee for service includes spending on the PCC plan.

# SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY SCHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

#### N/A to Massachusetts

SCHIP demonstration during the reporting period.

1. If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with SCHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	SCHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility			HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility			
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL	

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your

Number of **children** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration Number of **parents** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration

Number of **pregnant women** ever enrolled during the reporting period in the

demonstration						
Number of <b>childless adults</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration						
3. What have you found about the impact of children?	of covering adu	lts on enrollme	ent, retention, a	and access to c	are	
<ol> <li>Please provide budget information in the approved. Note: This reporting period (</li> </ol>						
COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1						
(e.g., children)						
Insurance Payments						
Managed care						
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles						
Fee for Service						
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1						
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2 (e.g., parents)						
Insurance Payments						
Managed care						
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles						
Fee for Service						
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2						

Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women)				
Insurance Payments				
Managed care				
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles				
Fee for Service				
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3				
Total Bellone Goods for Trainer Fopulation no				
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4 (e.g., childless adults)				
Insurance Payments				
Managed care				
per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles				
Fee for Service				
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3				
Total Benefit Costs				
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)				
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting				
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)				
Administration Costs				
Personnel				
General Administration				
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)				
Claims Processing				
Outreach/Marketing costs				
Other (specify)				
Total Administration Costs				
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Federal Title XXI Share				
State Share				
TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION				
When was your budget last updated (please	a include month	n day and year	12	
When was your subject last apacied (please	, indiade mont	i, day and year	, .	

Other notes relevant to the budget:

Please provide a description of any assumptions that are included in your calculations.

# SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

 For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted SCHIP.

The Massachusetts environment was dominated this year by the achievement of major Health Care Reform (HCR) legislation.

On April 12, 2006, Governor Mitt Romney signed landmark legislation designed to provide access to affordable health insurance coverage to all Massachusetts residents. The legislation, Chapter 58 of the Acts of 2006, titled *An Act Providing Access to Affordable, Quality, Accountable Health Care* (Act), builds upon the MassHealth Section 1115 Demonstration Project extension negotiated between Governor Romney and federal officials and approved by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on January 26, 2005. The Act accomplishes several key goals of the demonstration extension, including improving the fiscal integrity of the MassHealth program, directing more federal and state health care dollars to individuals and less to institutions, and subsidizing the purchase of private insurance for low-income individuals to reduce substantially the number of uninsured in the Commonwealth. An important component of the Act was the expansion of the Family Assistance program via SCHIP to children in families with income 200% to 300% FPL.

Prior to implementation of HCR, it was estimated that there were approximately 27,000 uninsured children in families with income between 200 and 300% FPL. SCHIP expansion to this income group was approved by CMS in a Title XXI State Plan amendment that was effective July 1, 2006. To date, the Commonwealth has enrolled approximately 13,000 children as a result of this expansion. Most of the expansion children enrolled thus far (approximately 9,200) were known to the Commonwealth from their enrollment in the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP). CMSP offers certain preventative and ambulatory care on a fee-for-service basis without any inpatient hospitalization coverage. Shifting these children to the Family Assistance program via SCHIP has given them access to comprehensive managed care options in MassHealth as well as access to premium assistance that could cover the entire family when employer-sponsored health insurance is available that meets the basic benefit level and is cost-effective. The conversion of coverage from CMSP to Family Assistance for those who were eligible for SCHIP was completely within one month of SCHIP expansion implementation because of the Commonwealth's joint application and unified eligibility system that places Medicaid, SCHIP, and CMSP on the same processing platform.

Furthermore, HCR legislation, with its emphasis on individual responsibility and the creation of affordable coverage products, has created a heightened public awareness of health insurance coverage. This higher level of awareness and public discourse will continue to help promote SCHIP participation in Massachusetts directly and indirectly, as it is an important piece in reaching the various uninsured population groups in the Commonwealth.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced?

Planning for the future continues to be a particular challenge given the impending end of the current authorization for SCHIP. As the redistribution picture shifts and we approach the end of the current authorization, planning and ensuring stability in the program becomes more difficult at a very important juncture. The Commonwealth is making every effort to ensure that funding uncertainty does not adversely affect enrollment. To the extent that the Commonwealth has uncovered SCHIP shortfalls, it must move expenditures for certain children (Medicaid expansion and kids up to 200% FPL) to the MassHealth 1115 Demonstration Project, where their coverage is also authorized. This, in turn, adversely impacts budget neutrality for the Demonstration, resulting in a squeeze on adult expansion efforts there.

Massachusetts Health Care Reform, with the support of the 1115 MassHealth Demonstration Project, has mobilized to provide affordable health insurance coverage through subsidies for all adults up to 300% FPL. It is critical that its SCHIP program continues to cover children up to that income level as well. These combined efforts to cover lower income people and families will further combine with

insurance market reforms, an unsubsidized health insurance exchange entity for higher income residents and small businesses, and an individual mandate for coverage, to make affordable health coverage available to virtually all Massachusetts residents. It is critical that SCHIP funding be a strong and certain link in the chain. Planning and building in an environment of uncertainty with regard to federal SCHIP funding is the greatest challenge we now face in the SCHIP program.

- 3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program?
  - 1. SCHIP expansion to children in families with income up to 300% FPL has resulted in 13,000 newly eligible children to date.
  - 2. Updating the cost-effective test for premium assistance to reflect increase health care costs has allowed the Commonwealth to offer more premium assistance relative to direct coverage in the SCHIP program. The new cost-effective test creates savings in the program by ensuring that increased contributions to employer-sponsored health insurance will be less than the cost of direct coverage for the members affected.
  - 3. Increased outreach efforts and electronic access points (Virtual Gateway) resulting in increased enrollment. Apart from expansion, considerable outreach efforts have been directed toward reaching eligible, but unenrolled children. From October 2005 to September 2006, the number of children enrolled in MassHealth increased by over 17,000.
- 4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your SCHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned.

There are presently no plans to change the SCHIP program in the next fiscal year.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]